UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
PLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. 2:20-cr-187(2)
VS.)
MYKELL REENEESHA UNEEK HAWES,)
DEFENDANT.))

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL H. WATSON
FRIDAY, JUNE 24, 2022; 3:01 P.M.
COLUMBUS, OHIO

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

Kenneth L. Parker United States Attorney By: Peter K. Glenn-Applegate Assistant United States Attorney 303 Marconi Boulevard, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Moore & Yaklevich By: W. Jeffrey Moore, Esq. 326 South High Street, Suite 300 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

Friday Afternoon Session 1 2 June 24, 2022 3 4 The following proceeding was held in chambers via telephone: 5 THE COURT: This is Judge Watson. Do we have both of 6 you on? MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: Pete Glenn-Applegate here. 8 THE COURT: Hi, Pete. W. Jeffrey, are you on yet? 9 Hearing none. (Discussion off the record.) 10 11 [Phone chimes.] 12 THE COURT: Jeff, is that you? 13 MR. MOORE: It is, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Okay. I've got Allison with us. So when 15 you speak, just make sure you identify yourselves for the 16 record. MR. MOORE: Sure will. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. So I concluded the last hearing 19 saying that I needed additional time to review the transcript 20 in the change of plea proceeding before Judge King. 21 MR. MOORE: Yes. 22 THE COURT: I've done that. I understand -- I'm not 23 sure what I understand here, but in any event, I know what the 2.4 documents say at this point. 2.5 MR. MOORE: I think Peter and I may have resolved

this. 1 2 THE COURT: Oh. 3 MR. MOORE: Am I correct, Pete? 4 MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: I think so, Jeff. Let's --5 let's -- why don't you say what -- what you think, and I'll see 6 if -- if that squares with -- with what I think our agreement is. 8 MR. MOORE: As I said in the hearing the other day, my 9 client may be responsible for some amount of meth, but 10 certainly not the amount that I'm reading about in the report, 11 and -- this is Jeff Moore, by the way, so -- sorry -- and I'm 12 willing to agree that she's responsible for three kilos. 1.3 I am not willing to agree that it's -- what is the --14 the report says --15 THE COURT: 3,114 grams? 16 MR. MOORE: Yes, or 35.25 pounds of meth. I cannot 17 agree to that because I know she wasn't involved in all that. 18 MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: So, Your Honor, let me speak to 19 that. So my primary concern about Mr. Moore's motion was that 20 some of the representation seems to conflict with the signed 21 Statement of Facts and what Ms. Hawes agreed to in her guilty 22 plea hearing. 23 THE COURT: Right.

you know, in the Statement of Facts, she agrees that she was

MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: Jeff and I have spoken -- and,

24

2.5

involved in the distribution of at least 3,114 grams of ice --1 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: -- which the PSR attributes to 4 her. 5 The PSR also attributes in paragraphs 30 and 31 6 additional shipments that the PSR finds contain meth and attributes all of that to her, and it's my understanding that now Mr. Moore is -- opposes attributing that to her. 8 9 I have -- I think Mr. Moore is entirely free to oppose 10 I'm not saying that I agree with him, but him opposing 11 those additional shipments would still be consistent with the 12 plea agreement and the Statement of Facts. 13 The plea agreement and the Statement of Facts would 14 allow him and his client to oppose attributing that additional 15 meth, which is -- if you give one more moment here --16 THE COURT: It's 8.75 -- 8.75 and 8.8 pounds in 17 paragraph 30, and it is 8.3 and 9.4 pounds in 31. 18 MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: That's correct. THE COURT: Those are total package weights, so, you 19 20 know, we're -- we're -- go ahead. 21 MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: So -- so, I think it is -- it is 22 fair for him and his client to -- to dispute that should be 23 attributed to her under the relevant conduct principles. 24 My primary concern was that the motion seems to go

farther and also oppose the 3,114 grams of ice in paragraph 29,

25

which Ms. Hawes agrees to in those Statement of Facts attached to the plea agreement.

What I would propose is -- because now I understand the claim by Mr. Moore and his client to be only about additional things above and beyond the Statement of Facts, I think the plea is still good.

We don't need to worry about a withdrawal or breach of the plea agreement.

Here, we're just -- you know, in what I would describe as kind of an ordinary dispute in drug cases about whether anything additional should be attributed to her, and I think the parties can manage that through the ordinary objections process and in our sentencing memos without further involvement of the Court prior to sentencing.

THE COURT: Jeff, you agree with that?

MR. MOORE: I do.

THE COURT: So the way -- the way I read this, she "guidelines" at life.

MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: Under the -- under the calculations of the initial PSR, that is correct.

THE COURT: And there's a -- there is a 20-year statutory max.

MR. MOORE: That's correct. And part of the reason --

THE COURT: So she gets no more than 240 months,

25 right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MOORE: It's because of the -- of attributing everything in the conspiracy to her.

And this guy had -- honestly, he had three women working for him, and each one did their own thing. My gal was just one of three. She had nothing to do with their business.

MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: And, Your Honor, without getting too far down the road before addressing sentencing, there may be reasons why Ms. Hawes' guidelines range could be reduced, and why under the 3553(a) factors, she may be eligible for something below the guidelines range.

I think, for the purpose of today's hearing, which is simply, you know -- I think was really about clarifying what Ms. Hawes agreed to at her change of plea hearing, I think the parties are in agreement, which is that she had agreed to the amount of Oxycodone, and she agreed to 3,114 grams of ice, and the rest of it can be left for ordinary sentencing proceedings.

THE COURT: All right. And can you guys put that in the form of a joint memo to the probation officer for their consideration in preparing the final report?

MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: Yes. And, Jeff, I'll prepare a draft and get it over to you by Monday.

MR. MOORE: That would be fine.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen. I appreciate it very much.

MR. GLENN-APPLEGATE: Thanks for your time,

24

25